REMARKS

Docket No.: 4266-0138PUS1

Claims 1-20 are pending in the above-identified application.

Request for Interview with Patent Examiner

Applicant's representative respectfully requests an Interview with the Patent Examiner in

connection with this application in order to address the issues discussed in the Advisory Action

of November 22, 2006, as well as the previously submitted points provided in the Response to

Final Office Action filed on November 6, 2006. It is understood that the Patent Examiner has

criticized the amount of comparative test evidence provided in connection with the present

claims. Applicant's representative would like to discuss this issue together with the asserted

basis for non-obviousness in view of the cited references. It is requested that the Examiner await

the outcome of the Interview before issuing any Office Action. If the Examiner must issue an

Office Action, it is requested that it not be made "Final" in order to allow Applicant to have a

chance to submit any further claim changes, arguments/or evidence in support of the

patentability of the present claims. Applicant's representative will contact the Examiner to

request the Interview within one month of the filing of the present RCE and Submission.

<u>Arguments Supporting Patentability of Claims</u>

Applicants respectfully submit that the present claims are patentable based on the reasons

provided in the Response filed November 6, 2006, which are deemed repeated herein. It is

respectfully emphasized that the proposed combinable herbicidal compounds described in WO

'607 (WO 98/46607) and Eicken '493 (USP 5,589,493) differ significantly with respect to

chemical structure features when compared to the combined herbicidal compounds recited in the

present claims. Thus, these references fail to provide an adequately specific suggestion to

combine the claimed compounds together in support of prima facie obviousness.

2 ADM/mao

Application No. 10/532,770 Docket No.: 4266-0138PUS1

Submission dated January 3, 2007

Reply to Office Action of November 22, 2006

If any questions arise in the above matters, please contact Applicant's representative, Andrew D. Meikle (Reg. No. 32,868), in the Washington Metropolitan Area at the phone number listed below.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

By

Dated: January 3, 2007 Respectfully submitted,

Andrew D. Meikle

Registration No.: 32,868

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorneys for Applicant

3 ADM/mao